

F. No. 07-01/2012-CP
Government of India
Ministry of Women and Child Development

Room No. 104, Jeevandeep Building,
Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110001
Dated: 26th December, 2012

Subject: Minutes of the 18th Meeting of the Project Sanctioning Committee (PSC) on 'UJJAWALA – A comprehensive scheme for prevention of trafficking and rescue, rehabilitation and reintegration of victims of trafficking for commercial sexual exploitation' held on 14th December, 2012.

The 18th meeting of the Project Sanctioning Committee (PSC) for 'UJJAWALA' – A comprehensive scheme for prevention of trafficking and rescue, rehabilitation and reintegration of victims of trafficking for commercial sexual exploitation was held on 14th December, 2012 in Secretary (WCD)'s Chamber at Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi. The proceedings were held through Video Conferencing with all the concerned States, except for Karnataka and Bihar, as the video conferencing facility was not available on the scheduled date and time in these states, and these 2 States were requested to be physically present for the PSC meeting. The representative from State Government of Karnataka was present in person in the PSC meeting. However the representative from State Government of Bihar was not present.

The PSC considered 20 project proposals received from the Non-Governmental Organizations, as recommended by the State Governments, from 7 States of Assam, Bihar, Karnataka, Manipur, Orissa, Rajasthan and Uttarakhand. Out of the 20 proposals, the Committee approved 11 proposals, deferred 4 proposals, and rejected 5 proposals as per details given below:

S. No.	State	No. of proposals placed in the meeting	No. of proposals Approved	No. of proposals deferred	No. of proposals rejected
1	Assam	1	1	-	-
2	Bihar	1	-	1	-
3	Karnataka	3	2	-	1
4	Manipur	11	6	1	4
5	Orissa	1	1	-	-
6	Rajasthan	1	1	-	-
7	Uttarakhand	2	-	2	-
TOTAL		20	11	4	5

Amay

The list of proposals approved/deferred/rejected by the PSC is given at Annex-I. In respect of proposals approved, the amount sanctioned will be as per the schematic norms. However, for the organizations that have been given approval for Rehabilitation (Protective and Rehabilitative Homes) component, the number of beneficiaries for which the approval has been given is indicated in the 'Decision' column. Where the P&R Home is sanctioned for 25 beneficiaries, the admissible budget is as given in Annex-II.

The following general decision was taken in the meeting

- A Senior officer, at least of the level of Deputy Secretary/Director or Secretary should represent the State Government in the PSC meetings, whether in person or through video conferencing.

The following general decisions taken in the 16th and 17th Meetings of the PSC were re-iterated in the 18th meeting:

- State Government should conduct regular monitoring on the progress of 'Ujjawala' Scheme to ensure effectiveness of grants received by the organizations.
- All State Governments should facilitate the process of issuing licenses to all sanctioned Ujjawala P&R Homes in their respective States under Section 21 of ITPA Act.
- Such organizations for which 4th year continuous grant for Ujjawala P&R Home has been approved, the Ministry would release the first instalment of the 4th year grant to begin with, but release of second instalment would be subject to receipt of the authenticated copy of licence for running protective home as per Section 21 of ITPA.
- All other organizations which are running Ujjawala P&R Home or have been sanctioned P&R Home in the recent PSC meetings, must obtain licence for running P&R Home from their respective State Governments by 31.10.2013. Subsequent grants would be subject to receipt of the authenticated copy of the licence for running protective home as per Section 21 of ITPA.

Anay

- The projects in the 3rd year of implementation should be evaluated well in advance for consideration of their continuation in the 4th year.



(Amit Ray)

Director

Tel: 011-23342879

All the concerned State Governments

Copy to

- i) PS to MOS (I/C), MWCD for information
- ii) PPS to Secretary (WCD)
- iii) PPS to Senior Economic Advisor
- iv) PS to JS&FA.
- v) DS (Finance)
- vi) PS to Additional Secretary (Centre State), MHA

Copy to Technical Director (NIC) with the request to upload the minutes in Ministry's website.

Decisions of the Project Sanctioning Committee (PSC) on 'Ujjawala' taken in its 18th meeting held in New Delhi on 14-12-2012

Sl. No	Organization's Name	Organization's address	Address of P&R Home and Half Way Home	Area of Operation	File No.	Decision of the Committee
Assam						
1.	Gram Vikas Parishad Rangaloo (Assam)	P.O. Jumarmug Distt. Nagaon, Assam – 782427	Smt. Anwara Khatun Formud Ali Path, PO: Jorhat Distt. Jorhat, Assam	District Jorhat, Assam	13-11/2010-CP	The State Govt. representative explained the project proposal. PSC was informed that trafficked women are already living in the Swadhar Home and Short Stay Home, which is being run by the NGO efficiently, and that there was a need for providing shelter for them separately. The PSC approved the project proposal for three components i.e. Prevention, Rescue and Rehabilitation (for 25 victims) as recommended by the State Government.
Bihar						
2.	Badhate Kadam Campus of capital coaching institute	Ashok Rajpath, Mahendru, District Patna, Bihar-800006	Not Applicable	Arwal District, Bihar	14-2/2010-CP	As the State Government representative did not attend the meeting and explain and recommend the proposal, the PSC deferred the proposal.

Karnataka

3.	Janachetana (Society for Urban & Rural Development)	1-4-1359/550, 1 st Floor, IDSMT, Layout, Air Road, Rajendra Road District- Rajchur-5841019 (Karnataka)	Not Applicable	Raichur District, Karnataka	21-2/2011-CP	The Commissioner of Department of Women and Child Development, Karnataka explained that Raichur district is a vulnerable area due to its proximity with districts like Gulbarga and sharing borders with Andhra Pradesh. This area has also incidences of Devdasi system. He recommended only for prevention component to begin with as the organization does not have any experience in trafficking. The PSC approved the proposal for "Prevention" component only as recommended by the State Govt. representative
4.	Integrated Development Organisation	No. 40, Godutai Nagar, Gulbarga, Karnataka-585102	Not Applicable	Raichur Distt., Karnataka	21-25/2009-CP	The State Government representative informed the PSC that the credentials of the documents of the organization appeared doubtful and therefore they were not in a position to recommend the proposal. Accordingly, agreeing with the State Government, the PSC rejected the proposal.
5.	Seva Trust for the Blind	1 st Main Road, Maruthi Nagar, Ranebennur, Haveri, Karnataka	Shri Prashant N Bishtey, Opposite P&T Quarters, PB Road, Ranebennur, Distt. Haveri,	Distt. Haveri, Karnataka	7-222/2008-CP	The State Government representative informed the PSC that the organization is doing good work and as per the norms of Ujjawala Scheme. Therefore, it can be supported for continuation of the project for Fourth Year. As recommended by the State

			Karnataka			Government representative, the PSC approved the continuation of the proposal for 4th year for prevention, rescue and rehabilitation (for 50 beneficiaries only as initially sanctioned) components. It was decided that the Ministry would release the first instalment of the 4th year to begin with but the second installment would be subject to receipt of the authenticated copy of licence for running protective home under section 21 of ITPA.
Manipur						
6.	All Backward Classes & Economic Development Organization (ABCEDO)	Samaram Bazar, P.O. Wangjing, Pin 795148, Thoubal District, Manipur	Not Applicable	ThoubalDistt. Manipur	25-12/2011-CP	The State Government representative informed that there is trafficking problem in the area and there is no P&R Home in ThoubalDistrict at present. There was a discussion regarding the State Government recommending two proposals for the same district, Thoubal. The PSC felt that for the time being, only one project can be supported for one district and requested the State Government to indicate preference. The State Government however requested PSC to consider both the proposals. The Secretariat of Ujjawala pointed out that earlier there were certain loan repayment issues in RMK by ABCEDO, which were cleared by the Agency after

						much follow up, and hence if the PSC were to select only one organization for one district, this past experience could be considered. The State Government also thereafter was inclined to support the other applicant for the district, given the constraint that only one proposal for one district was being considered for support by the PSC. Accordingly the PSC rejected the project proposal.
7.	Rural Voluntary Services	WangbalMayaiLeikai, P.O. Thoubal, Pin 795138, Thoubal, District, Manipur	ShriPrahlada Singh, UyalLamboit hong, Thoubal District, Manipur..	ThoubalDistt. Manipur	25-9/2011-CP	As supported by the State Government, The PSC approved the project proposal for three components only viz. Prevention, Rescue and Rehabilitation (for 25 victims).
8.	Society for Human Advancement in Rural Area (SAHARA)	HattaMinuthong, Imphal East District, Manipur	Not Applicable	District Ukhrol, Manipur	25-14/2011-CP	The State Government representative informed that there is trafficking problem in the area and there is no P&R Home in Ukhrol District at present. There was a discussion regarding the State Government recommending two proposals for the same district, Ukhrol. The PSC felt that for the time being, only one project can be supported for one district and requested the State Government to indicate preference. The State Government was inclined to support the other applicant for the district, given the constraint that only one proposal for one district was being

						considered for support by the PSC. Accordingly the PSC rejected the project proposal.
9.	Universal Caring Mission	KhuraiChairenthong Bazar, PO Lamlong-795010, Distt. Imphal East, Manipur	Not Applicable	Bishnupur Distt., Manipur	25-5/2011-CP	The PSC noted that as per record, the organization had applied for Ukhrul District which the State Government had also recommended in the past. However, in the recent inspection report of the applicant NGOs, based on which the proposals were being considered in the PSC, the area of operation was mentioned as Bishnupur District. PSC desired the State Government to send clarification about the area of operation of the project proposal. The PSC, therefore, deferred the project proposal.
10.	Zingsho Rural Development Society (ZRDS)	PhungerithangUkhrul I, Ukhrul District-795142, Manipur	Shri Peter, Jassami, Chingai Block, Distt. Ukhrul, Manipur	Distt. Ukhrul, Manipur	25-1/2011-CP	The State Government representative informed the PSC that the organization is a reputed one and thus recommended the project proposal to the applicant in preference to the other applicant for the same district. As supported by the State Government, The PSC approved the project proposal for three components only viz. Prevention, Rescue and Rehabilitation (for 25 victims).
11.	BiswanthMahilaKalyanSamiti (BMKS)	Smt. Ibeyaima Devi, palace Compound, Distt. Imphal East, Manipur	Distt. Imphal East	Distt. Imphal East	25-2/2011-CP	The State Government representative informed that there is trafficking problem in the area and there is no P&R Home in ImphalEast

						<p>District at present. There was a discussion regarding the State Government recommending three proposals for the same district, Imphal East. The PSC felt that for the time being, only one project can be supported for one district and requested the State Government to indicate preference.</p> <p>The State Government representative informed the PSC that the organization is a reputed one and can manage the Ujjawala scheme. As the State Government representative recommended the project proposal, the PSC approved the same for three components only viz Prevention, Rescue and Rehabilitation (for 25 victims).</p>
12.	Society for People's Education and Economic Development (SPEED)	KhuraiPuthibaLeikai, LamlogImphal East	Not Applicable	Distt. Imphal East, Manipur	25-11-2011-CP	The State Government was inclined to support another applicant for the district, given the constraint that only one proposal for one district was being considered for support by the PSC. Accordingly the PSC rejected the project proposal.
13.	Human Empowerment for Social Integration	KhuraiNandeibamLeikai, PO Lamlong 795010, Imphal East, Manipur	Not Applicable	Imphal East Distt.	25-7/2011-CP	The State Government was inclined to support another applicant for the district, given the constraint that only one proposal for one district was being considered for support by the

						PSC. Accordingly the PSC rejected the project proposal.
14.	Integrated People's Development Society	Paomei Colony, PO Lamlong, Chingmerirong, Imphal East District, Manipur-795010	Shri Athui Pa mei, Tamenglong bazaar, Distt. Tamenglong, Manipur	Distt. Tamenglong, Manipur	25-4/2011CP	The State Government representative informed the PSC that there is trafficking problem in the area and there is no P&R Home in Tamenglong District and recommended the project proposal. The PSC approved the project proposal for three components only viz. Prevention, Rescue and Rehabilitation (25 victims).
15.	Integrated Rural Advancement Centre	(CVTC Complex) Palace Compound, Imphal East 795010, Manipur	Shri T. Kipgen, Churachandpur Bazar, Distt. Churachandpur, Manipur	Distt. Churachandpur, Manipur	25-3/2011-CP	The State Government representative informed the PSC that there is trafficking problem in the area and there is no P&R Home in Churachandpur Distt. and recommended the project proposal. The PSC approved the project proposal for three components only viz. Prevention, Rescue and Rehabilitation (25 victims).
16.	Manipur Scheduled Caste Welfare Association (Mascwa)	20, Super Market, Lamphel 795004	Shri O. Nabadwip Singh, 20 Super Market, Lamphel, Distt. Imphal West, Manipur	Distt. Imphal West, Manipur	25-10/2011-CP	The State Govt. representative informed the PSC that there is trafficking problem in the area and there is no P&R Home in Imphal West District and recommended the project proposal. The PSC approved the project proposal for three components only viz. Prevention, Rescue and Rehabilitation (25 victims).
Orissa						
17.	National Rural	A/Po-Tarva, Block-	Distt.	Distt.	29-3/12-CP	The State Government

	Development Cooperation (NRDC)	Tarabha, Distt-Subarnapur, Pin-767016, Odisha	Subarnapur	Subarnapur, Orissa		representative recommended the project proposal. Rent assessment certificate from PWD and audited accounts of the NGO for 2011-12 will be furnished by the State Government immediately. The PSC accordingly approved the project proposal for two components only viz. Prevention and Rehabilitation (for 25 victims). Re-integration component can be considered after one year.
Rajasthan						
18.	TejaswaniMahilaEva m Jan KalyanSamiti	23/158, Saraykaysthan, Patan Pole, Distt. Kota, Rajasthan- 324006	Not Applicable	District Bundi	31-1/12-CP	Representative of the NGO informed the PSC through video conference that they were already doing prevention work on their own for the last seven years and now was seeking assistance under Ujjawala, which was supported by the State Government representative. As recommended by the State Government, the PSC approved the project proposal for 'Prevention' component only.
Uttarakhand						
19.	AnushuchitJatiMawla UthanSamiti	117/28, Khurbura Street, Distt. Haridwar, Dehradun, Uttarakhand	Not Applicable	Distt. Haridwar, Uttarakhand	36-1-2012-CP	PSC noted that the State Government was represented by the Programme Officer for the video conference, and neither the State Secretary nor the Director were

						<p>present.</p> <p>The PSC was informed by the Secretariat about the following deficiencies in the project proposal, as per records of the Ministry:-</p> <p>(i) Part-B of the Application form is not furnished as per schematic norms.</p> <p>(ii) Pre-sanction appraisal does not provide proper justification for the project and has not verified the list of the proposed beneficiaries.</p> <p>(iii) Further, as per minutes of the SLEC, the project proposal has been recommended for 50 Seater 'Helpline' Programme and <u>not</u> Ujjawala.</p> <p>The Programme Officer, who represented the State Government did not have the relevant records and could not clarify the point of the minutes of the SLEC. The PSC, therefore, deferred the project proposal and directed the State Government to send the documents as pointed out and also clarify the point about recommending the proposal for Ujjawala or for 'Helpline'.</p>
20.	Paryavaran Evam Juan	Kichha-US Nagar Uttarakhand	Not applicable	Udham Singh Nagar	36-2/12-CP	The PSC noted that the organization was working for long in the proposed

	KalyanSamitiBandia,				<p>area of operation on the issue of prevention of HIV/AIDS.</p> <p>Further it was found from the list of proposed beneficiaries with age sent by the organization that there are many girls who are apparently getting trafficked into prostitution before attaining 18 years of age in the same area where the organization has been intervening for prevention of HIV/AIDS for a number of years. However, the organization records could not establish that it had done anything to prevent these girls from being trafficked into the prostitution racket.</p> <p>The PSC therefore, felt that an enquiry may be got conducted by Ministry of Women and Child Development about vulnerability of the area for trafficking and also the activities of the NGO concerned. Accordingly the proposal was deferred.</p>
--	---------------------	--	--	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Revised Budget for P & R Homes of 25 Victims under Ujjawala Scheme

S.No.	Component-3 Rehabilitation	Annual Budget (In Rs.)
3.1	Setting up of Protective Homes (25 Victims)	
a.	Rent*	
	A Grade Cities (Delhi, Mumbai, Kolkata, Chennai, Bangalore and Hyderabad (Rs.25000 x 12 months)	300000
	B Grade Cities (Rest of India) (Rs. 20000 x 12 months)	240000
b.	Staff#	
	Project Director(Rs.10,000 x 1 x 12 months)	120000
	Social Worker (2) (Rs.5000 x 2 x12 months)	120000
	Clinical psychologist (Rs.5000 x 1 x 12 months)	60000
	Clerk-cum-Accountant (Rs.3000 x 1 x 12 months)	36000
	Guard(2) (Rs.2500 x 2 x 12 months)	60000
	Doctor (Part-Time) (Rs.3000 x 12 months)	36000
	Psychiatrist (Part-Time) (Rs.3000 x 12 months)	36000
c.	Furniture/utensils, linen beds, locker etc (Non-recurring)	100000
d.	Electricity and Water (Rs.2250 x 12 months)	27000
3.2	Basic amenities	
a.	Food (Rs.700 x 25 girls x 12 months)	210000
b.	Personal(Rs.150 x 25 girls x 12 months) such as clothes, toiletries, sanitary items etc.	45000
3.3.	Medical Care (Medicines includes emergency care) (Rs.200 x 25 x 12 months)	60000
3.4	Legal Aid (Court work and documentation) (Rs.200 x 12 x 25)	60000
3.5	Administrative Support	10500
3.6	Education	
a.	Formal School Support (Rs.200 x 12 x 15 victims)	36000
3.7	Vocational Training and Income generation activities(support – Rs.5000 x 10 victims)	50000
	Total A Grade Cities	1366500
	Total B Grade Cities	1306500

* Subject to Rent Assessment Certificate from State PWD

Wherever possible appointment of eligible SCs/STs/ OBCs/ Minorities may be undertaken